Reproductive System Symbiotic Bacteria Are Conserved between Two Distinct Populations of *Euprymna scolopes* from Oahu, Hawaii
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**ABSTRACT** Female Hawaiian bobtail squid, *Euprymna scolopes*, harbor a symbiotic bacterial community in a reproductive organ, the accessory nidamental gland (ANG). This community is known to be stable over several generations of wild-caught bobtail squid but has, to date, been examined for only one population in Maunalua Bay, Oahu, HI. This study assessed the ANG and corresponding egg jelly coat (JC) bacterial communities for another genetically isolated host population from Kaneohe Bay, Oahu, HI, using 16S amplicon sequencing. The bacterial communities from the ANGs and JCs of the two populations were found to be similar in richness, evenness, phylogenetic diversity, and overall community composition. However, the Kaneohe Bay samples formed their own subset within the Maunalua Bay ANG/JC community. An *Alteromonadaceae* genus, BD2-13, was significantly higher in relative abundance in the Kaneohe Bay population, and several *Alphaproteobacteria* taxa also shifted in relative abundance between the two groups. This variation could be due to local adaptation to differing environmental challenges, to localized variability, or to functional redundancy among the ANG taxa. The overall stability of the community between the populations further supports a crucial functional role that has been hypothesized for this symbiosis.

**IMPORTANCE** In this study, we examined the reproductive ANG symbiosis found in two genetically isolated populations of the Hawaiian bobtail squid, *Euprymna scolopes*. The stability of the community reported here provides support for the hypothesis that this symbiosis is under strong selective pressure, while the observed differences suggest that some level of local adaptation may have occurred. These two host populations are frequently used interchangeably as source populations for research. *Euprymna scolopes* is an important model organism and offers the opportunity to examine the interplay between a binary and a consortial symbiosis in a single model host. Understanding the inherent natural variability of this association will aid in our understanding of the conservation, function, transmission, and development of the ANG symbiosis.
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The bobtail squid, *Euprymna scolopes*, is endemic to the Hawaiian archipelago and relies on the well-studied light organ symbiont *Vibrio fischeri* to avoid predation (1). In addition to this symbiosis, adult females also harbor a complex bacterial consortium in their reproductive system (2, 3). The accessory nidamental gland (ANG) is conserved throughout many species of squid, cuttlefish, and bobtail squid (4) and was first described over a century ago (5). This gland consists of a number of epithelium-lined tubules, each of which contains its own dominant bacterial taxon (Fig. 1A) (2). The ANG bacteria are deposited into the jelly coat (JC) of eggs (3), where they are hypothesized
to be involved in the defense of developing embryos from microbial fouling (2, 6, 7). Bacterial isolates from the ANG have also been demonstrated to inhibit certain marine bacteria via the production of secondary metabolites (7, 8).

Research into these bobtail squid and their symbionts has primarily focused on two populations of *E. scolopes* from the island of Oahu, HI (Fig. 1B). Maunalua Bay (MB), located on the southern coast of Oahu, is a shallow sand flat reaching approximately 600 m from shore to the reef crest. Kaneohe Bay (KB) is located to the north of MB on the eastern coast of Oahu and is the only true barrier reef in the Hawaiian archipelago (9). While bobtail squid are found in several discrete spots throughout KB (10), they are generally collected from a smaller sand flat reaching approximately 120 m from shore to a deeper channel.

These two bobtail squid host populations are located on the same island (Fig. 1B) but exhibit low levels of gene flow and several morphological differences (11). MB females, eggs, and juveniles are significantly larger than those from KB (11). These MB and KB hosts are also known to harbor different strains of *V. fischeri* in their light organs (12), although no evidence for geographic specificity of the strains from these two bobtail squid populations has been found (13), and bacterial lineages from MB and KB hosts show extensive mixing (14). The low levels of gene flow between host populations, along with previously described light organ symbiont strain differences between these two sites, make these populations a good source for examining potential variation in the ANG symbiotic communities.

We hypothesized that the ANGs from these two host populations would contain similar bacterial communities with minor variations, similar to what is seen for the light organ symbiosis. For this study, we collected squid from KB and compared the ANG and egg jelly coat (JC) communities to previously published samples from MB animals (3). We also compared the JC communities to the ANGs from females associated with those eggs, to confirm whether symbionts from mother (ANG) and corresponding egg (JC) communities clustered together, as had previously been demonstrated for the MB population (3).

**RESULTS**

To examine the natural variability of the *E. scolopes* ANG bacterial community, the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene from ANG and JC bacterial extracts from Kaneohe Bay was sequenced and compared to previously published samples from Maunalua Bay (3). The bacterial communities from Kaneohe Bay ANGs and JCs clustered together, overlapping with the Maunalua Bay ANGs and JCs but with lower dispersion in a distinct
cluster in a Bray-Curtis beta diversity analysis of community composition variation (Fig. 2A). An analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) indicated no dissimilarity between Kaneohe Bay and Maunalua Bay bobtail squid ANG and JC community composition ($R = 0.06$, $P = 0.08$), while a permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) did find low levels of dissimilarity between the two populations ($F = 6.07$, $P = 0.001$). PERMANOVA is known to be more sensitive to variation in dispersion, and the significance of this test is thus likely due to the lower dispersion of the Kaneohe Bay ANG/JC samples. Kaneohe Bay ANG and JC samples clustered together on a nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plot based on the Bray-Curtis metric (Fig. 2B) (ANOSIM: $R = 0.18$, $P = 0.03$; PERMANOVA: $F = 3.06$, $P = 0.02$), in agreement with a previous study, which found a similar pattern for Maunalua Bay ANG and JC samples (3). When compared, the bacterial community composition of Kaneohe Bay JCs reflected that of the ANG of the female that deposited the eggs, with a strong cluster pattern (Fig. 2C) (ANOSIM: $R = 0.83$, $P = 0.001$; PERMANOVA: $F = 7.37$, $P = 0.001$), similar to what was shown previously for the Maunalua Bay population (3).

Alpha diversity was also similar between KB and MB populations, for both ANG and JC bacterial communities (Fig. 3). Three types of alpha diversity were analyzed to give a broad portrait of within-sample diversity. Bacterial richness and evenness ($H'$), phylogenetic diversity (PD), and richness informed by the number of rare operational taxonomic units (OTUs, Chao1) were all similar between the two populations and two sample types, when analyzed via two-way ANOVA (Fig. 3). The larger spread in alpha diversity of MB JC samples than of other sample types is attributed to including a wider set of JCs from different stages of embryogenesis in the initial study (3), while this study included JCs only from eggs collected during early embryogenesis.
The cluster patterns found via beta diversity analysis between the Kaneohe and Maunalua Bay ANG and JC bacterial communities can be explained by the observed differences in the relative abundances of certain taxa. The KB and MB ANGs were both dominated by Alphaproteobacteria (60.3% KB versus 65.9% MB) and Verrucomicrobia (22.6% KB versus 25.0% MB) (Fig. 4A). The JCs from both populations had higher levels of Alphaproteobacteria (74.8% KB versus 70.9% MB) and lower levels of Verrucomicrobia (10.3% KB versus 11.4% MB) than the ANGs (Fig. 4A). However, Gammaproteobacteria in KB ANGs accounted for a significantly higher proportion of the community than in MB ANGs (15.7% KB versus 4.9% MB, *t* = 4.635, *P* = 0.0002) (Fig. 4B). The higher proportion of Gammaproteobacteria in KB was due to an Alteromonadaceae genus (BD2-13, 11.9% KB versus 2.0% MB, *t* = 5.023, *P* = 0.0003) (Fig. 5A). A similar difference was seen in the JC for the same genus (9.4% KB versus 2.0% MB, *t* = 5.588, *P* = 0.00001) (Fig. 5B).

Two Alphaproteobacteria taxa were significantly higher in the JCs of Maunalua Bay than in those of Kaneohe Bay (Methylcystaceae [family], 1.8% MB versus 0.5% KB, *t* = 3.639, *P* = 0.01; Rhizobiales [order], 0.6% MB versus 0.3% KB, *t* = 3.107, *P* = 0.04), while a third (Leisingera sp.) was significantly higher in the Kaneohe Bay JCs than in the Maunalua Bay JCs (0.01% MB versus 0.8% KB, *t* = 3.085, *P* = 0.04) (Fig. 5B). However, the ANGs showed no differences in these specific Alphaproteobacteria groups between the two populations. These changes within the JC Alphaproteobacteria taxa indicate that this group may also shift slightly between the populations, although none of these individual taxa account for substantial proportions of the communities. The Leisingera sp. differences in this study between MB and KB JCs appear to be due in large part to a few outliers within the Kaneohe Bay JCs (Fig. 5B). Previous research demonstrated that a majority of the Rhodobacteraceae found in the *E. scolopes* ANG/JC community belonged to the Leisingera genus (2, 3, 7, 15); however, the 16S rRNA gene V4 region does not provide enough resolution to consistently resolve *Rhodobacteraceae* genera. The lower reported values of *Leisingera* in the MB ANGs and JCs in this study than those previously published for the same samples (3) are due in part to this lack of resolution in the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene, as well as to the use of de novo clustering instead of reference-based OTU clustering.

The cluster patterns found via beta diversity analysis between the Kaneohe and Maunalua Bay ANG and JC bacterial communities can be explained by the observed differences in the relative abundances of certain taxa. The KB and MB ANGs were both dominated by Alphaproteobacteria (60.3% KB versus 65.9% MB) and Verrucomicrobia (22.6% KB versus 25.0% MB) (Fig. 4A). The JCs from both populations had higher levels of Alphaproteobacteria (74.8% KB versus 70.9% MB) and lower levels of Verrucomicrobia (10.3% KB versus 11.4% MB) than the ANGs (Fig. 4A). However, Gammaproteobacteria in KB ANGs accounted for a significantly higher proportion of the community than in MB ANGs (15.7% KB versus 4.9% MB, *t* = 4.635, *P* = 0.0002) (Fig. 4B). The higher proportion of Gammaproteobacteria in KB was due to an Alteromonadaceae genus (BD2-13, 11.9% KB versus 2.0% MB, *t* = 5.023, *P* = 0.0003) (Fig. 5A). A similar difference was seen in the JC for the same genus (9.4% KB versus 2.0% MB, *t* = 5.588, *P* = 0.00001) (Fig. 5B).
DISCUSSION

In this study, we find that the bobtail squid ANG MB and KB bacterial communities are largely similar, with small yet significant changes between some members. The ANG and JC communities were dominated by Alphaproteobacteria from the Rhodobacteraceae family and Verrucomicrobia from the Opitutae class in both locations (Fig. 4). Alpha diversity metrics showed no differences between the populations, demonstrating that the communities are similar in terms of species richness, evenness, and phylogenetic diversity. However, beta diversity analysis revealed that samples from Kaneohe Bay exhibited tighter dispersion, clustering apart from the Maunalua Bay samples but still contained within the larger MB group, indicating a distinct but similar community composition (Fig. 2 and 3). The Kaneohe Bay population contained a significantly higher proportion of Gammaproteobacteria from the BD2-13 genus (a member of the Alteromonadaceae) (Fig. 5). Altogether, these data suggest that the community is stable between these two host populations, with the slight differences in community composition potentially reflecting local adaptation to differing environmental conditions, localized variability, or functional redundancy between the members.
The main taxonomic difference between the MB and KB ANG communities was due to an increase in relative abundance of Alteromonadaceae in the KB population. Alteromonadaceae are known to produce many secondary metabolites with antibacterial and anticancer properties (16, 17). Secondary metabolite production by symbiotic bacteria is hypothesized to contribute to cephalopod egg defense from potential fouling and infection during development (2, 6–8), although this has yet to be demonstrated in vivo. The potential for functional redundancy between alteromonads and other bacterial groups in the ANG could also provide further insight into how this bacterial consortium contributes to egg defense. The two host populations in MB and KB are known to contain different light organ strains of V. fischeri (12). However, colonization efficiency is not affected by the source population of squid or V. fischeri symbionts, suggesting a lack of host-symbiont coevolution (13) and functional redundancy between the strains. The higher prevalence of Alteromonadaceae in the KB ANG symbiosis should be explored further and may provide a novel source for natural product discovery. While Actinobacteria and to a lesser degree Cyanobacteria have been responsible for the majority of natural product drug discovery in marine ecosystems, the Alteromonadaceae are responsible for a high proportion of natural products derived from Proteobacteria, especially compared to the Alphaproteobacteria (16–18).

The minor differences found in the ANG community composition between these two populations may be potentially tied to morphological differences already described for these squid. MB day 0 eggs are known to be larger than KB day 0 eggs (3.5-mm diameter versus 3.0-mm diameter, respectively [11]). This size difference could indicate a larger amount of jelly coat in the MB eggs and thus a higher overall abundance of bacteria, or alternatively may be due to a larger yolk sac and/or embryo. Differences in bacterial communities caused by abundance and/or strain differences may influence the defensive potential (i.e., secondary metabolite production) of eggs from one population versus the other. Further research comparing E. scolopes ANG/JC populations from other geographic locations and comparisons between cephalopod species may answer some of these questions.

While functional redundancy may explain the variation in communities found between these two populations, differing environmental conditions might also play a role. Kaneohe Bay is a barrier reef, while Maunalua Bay is a fringing reef, and thus, these two sites are subjected to different types of water currents. Both sites contain a high level of nonindigenous and cryptogenic species (KB, 18.8%; MB, 18% of total biota), as well as invasive macroalgae (19, 20). However, the observed species distributions at sites of E. scolopes collections are distinct, with the highest number of macrofaunal taxa found in Kaneohe Bay belonging to polychaeta and gastropods, while in Maunalua Bay amphipods and red algae dominate (19, 20). These ecosystems could exert different predation and fouling pressures on bobtail squid eggs, leading to local adaptations of the symbiont populations. Additionally, distinct bacterial communities in the KB seawater and sediment could provide different source populations for the environmental transmission of the community. The developing squid are hypothesized to reacquire their ANG symbionts every generation from the environment (3), and so variation in symbiont availability between KB and MB may influence the ANG community compositions of these two populations.

In many other host-microbe associations, symbionts have been found to diverge between different populations. Gut communities frequently vary due to differences in diet between populations, as is observed in the human gut microbiome (21, 22) or in the juvenile Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar, where the gut microbiome varied between populations only in Mycoplasmataceae strains (23). The hindgut microbiota of termites, Reticulitermes flavipes, from different but nearby populations, showed similar abundance patterns for the core taxa but did exhibit variation hypothesized to allow the termites to distinguish nestmates from invaders (24). Furthermore, obligate nutritional endosymbionts, such as Symbiodinium in corals, can vary at the strain level (25).

In a symbiosis that may be functionally similar to that of the ANG, the epithelial bacterial community of Hydra oligactis has been shown to provide protection from...
fungal fouling (26). The H. oligactis epithelial symbiosis and the ANG symbiosis appear to share similar population dynamics. A comparison of Hydra oligactis populations from two German lakes found that the populations contained many of the same bacterial taxa and grouped together apart from the community of Hydra vulgaris from one of the same lakes (27). However, each population did contain some bacterial taxa not found in the other population (27), similar to what we observed in this study for the two populations of E. scolopes.

Despite the population differences, the overall ANG community dynamics within each host population appear to be similar. We found no dissimilarity between the overall ANG and JC community composition, and the JC bacterial community of a given female’s eggs clustered with its corresponding ANG (Fig. 2), providing additional evidence for the deposition of the ANG bacterial community into the egg JC. Expanding on the conclusions reached for the MB population (3), comparison of the ANG communities from genetically isolated host populations reinforces the hypothesis that ANG symbionts are taxonomically conserved in this and other cephalopod species. The conserved bacterial taxa between these isolated populations lead us to predict that similar ANG symbiotic communities will be found across populations of E. scolopes. Previous studies suggest that similar bacterial taxa are shared between E. scolopes and other ANG-containing cephalopods (28–31). Alphaproteobacteria appear to make up the majority of the taxa found in these symbioses, along with Gammaproteobacteria to a lesser extent (28–31). Future studies should also focus on determining whether functional conservation exists between the different bacterial strains found in various cephalopods.

The selective pressure exerted on a defensive symbiosis will largely depend on the abundance and fitness effects of specific pathogens/foulers in the host’s natural environment (32–35). If fouling only rarely impacts E. scolopes clutches, or if that fouling does not negatively impact host survival or fitness, then the selective pressure to conserve the symbiosis throughout the species should be low. Distinct environmental conditions between populations could result in different selective pressures. The largely conserved ANG symbiosis between the MB and KB bobtail squid populations may reflect the strong threat of egg fouling or infection by marine microbes. In the future, in situ experiments investigating fouling of eggs where the JC community has been altered (e.g., by antibiotic treatment) may lend insight into the occurrence of this threat in the host’s natural environment. Examination of bobtail squid populations from other islands in the Hawaiian archipelago will also enhance our understanding of the stability of the ANG community across the species. A previous genome study of roseobacters isolated from the ANG of MB E. scolopes suggested that there are differences between closely related strains (15). Future work on specific KB ANG isolates along with metagenomic and transcriptomic studies may lead to a better understanding of these differences. The overall stability of the community between host populations supports a critical functional role for this symbiosis, while the few variable taxa open up potential avenues for understanding how local host-microbe populations adapt to different conditions and for isolating additional drug discovery candidates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ten sexually mature female squid (ranging in mantle length from 19 mm to 30 mm) were collected from Kaneohe Bay (KB, 21°16’16.51.42'N, 57°43’33.07’W) to remove transient bacterial contaminants. DNA extraction from ANGs (n = 10) and egg JCs (n = 20) was completed as previously described (3). Briefly, ANGs were homogenized in FSSR, followed by differential centrifugation to separate the bacterial cells from host tissue. DNA extraction of the bacterial component was completed using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) with bead beating (Mini-Beadbeater-16; BioSpec Products, Bartlesville, OK). Ten JCs were separated from their outer egg capsules and yolk sacs and pooled in a bead-beating tube. The JCs were flash-frozen to −80°C for a minimum of 30 min, and DNA was extracted.
using the MasterPure DNA purification kit (Epicentre Biotechnologies, Madison, WI) with bead beating and an increased concentration of proteinase K (0.833 μg/ml).

Extracted DNA was amplified using bar-coded primers developed for the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene by Caporaso et al. (36) and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA) according to established protocols (24, 37). Samples were processed either in the Nyholm lab or at the University of Connecticut Microbial Analysis, Resources and Services facility (MARS). An average of 50,052 ± 12,197 reads/sample was obtained for KB ANG samples (n = 10, minimum 23,976 reads/sample). An average of 66,550 ± 32,128 reads/sample was obtained for KB JCs (n = 20, minimum 17,654 reads/sample). MB samples were previously published and reanalyzed for this study and contained an average of 82,077 ± 31,037 reads/sample for the JC and 74,739 ± 31,370 reads/sample for the ANG (3). Both negative-extraction (no-sample) and PCR (no-template) controls were processed and sequenced simultaneously with all samples. Fewer than 1,000 sequences/control were obtained in all cases, and the majority of sequences in these controls belonged to a single Escherichia OTU. Most other OTUs present in the controls were not present in the ANG samples. Three Rhodobacteraceae OTUs also associated with the community were obtained in the controls as well but accounted for less than 1% of sequences for the control samples. In addition, the presence of Rhodobacteraceae in the ANG has been previously established through the use of fluorescence in situ hybridization (2) and culturing techniques (15). No Verrucomicrobia OTUs were found in any of the control samples.

Sequencing data were analyzed using QIIME (38). De novo methods were used to assign operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at the 97% identity level (24). Samples were rarefied to 10,000 sequences. Alpha diversity was analyzed in QIIME, and the log, Shannon index was converted to a natural log Shannon index. Alpha diversity plots were created, and differences in alpha diversity were tested using two-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey tests in Prism. Beta diversity was analyzed using the Bray-Curtis metric, with community composition similarity tested by ANOSIM and PERMANOVA in QIIME and NMDS plots created in R using the Vegan package (39). Differences in relative abundance between various taxa were analyzed by unpaired t test and corrected for multiple comparisons using the Holm-Sidak method in Prism. KB sequences were compared to MB sequences previously published and available under project identifier (ID) PRJEB14655, accession numbers ERS1498392 to ERS1498398, ERS1496666 to ERS1496676, and ERS1496678 to ERS1496722 (3). MB sequences were reanalyzed for this study for consistency.

Accession number(s). KB sequences were deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) and are available under project ID PRJEB23264.
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