



Spotlight Selection | Genetics and Molecular Biology | Full-Length Text

Mobile-CRISPRi as a powerful tool for modulating *Vibrio* gene expression

Logan J. Geyman,¹ Madeline P. Tanner,² Natalia Rosario-Meléndez,^{3,4} Jason M. Peters,^{4,5} Mark J. Mandel,^{3,4} Julia C. van Kessel¹

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS See affiliation list on p. 12.

ABSTRACT CRISPRi (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Palindromic Repeats interference) is a gene knockdown method that uses a deactivated Cas9 protein (dCas9) that binds a specific gene target locus dictated by an encoded guide RNA (sgRNA) to block transcription. Mobile-CRISPRi is a suite of modular vectors that enable CRISPRi knockdowns in diverse bacteria by integrating IPTG-inducible *dcas9* and *sgRNA* genes into the genome using Tn7 transposition. Here, we show that the Mobile-CRISPRi system functions robustly and specifically in multiple *Vibrio* species: *Vibrio* cholerae, *Vibrio* fischeri, *Vibrio* vulnificus, *Vibrio* parahaemolyticus, and *Vibrio* campbellii. We demonstrate efficacy by targeting both essential and non-essential genes that function to produce defined, measurable phenotypes: bioluminescence, quorum sensing, cell division, and growth arrest. We anticipate that Mobile-CRISPRi will be used in *Vibrio* species to systematically probe gene function and essentiality in various behaviors and native environments.

IMPORTANCE The genetic manipulation of bacterial genomes is an invaluable tool in experimental microbiology. The development of CRISPRi (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Palindromic Repeats interference) tools has revolutionized genetics in many organisms, including bacteria. Here, we optimized the use of Mobile-CRISPRi in five *Vibrio* species, each of which has significant impacts on marine environments and organisms that include squid, shrimp, shellfish, finfish, corals, and multiple of which pose direct threats to human health. The Mobile-CRISPRi technology is easily adaptable, moveable from strain to strain, and enables researchers to selectively turn off gene expression. Our experiments demonstrate Mobile-CRISPRi is effective and robust at repressing gene expression of both essential and non-essential genes in *Vibrio* species.

KEYWORDS CRISPR, CRISPRi, Vibrio, Vibrio cholerae, Vibrio campbellii, Vibrio vulnificus, Vibrio parahaemolyticus, Vibrio fischeri, gene expression

T he rise of Clustered Regularly Interspaced Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR) as a genetic tool has empowered researchers to make precise, controlled changes to the genomes of model and non-model organisms (1). The development of a CRISPR-based interference tool (CRISPRi) has allowed researchers to modulate gene expression (2–5). CRISPRi works by targeting an enzymatically inactivated CRISPR-associated protein 9 (dCas9) to a gene of interest via a complementary single-guide RNA (sgRNA); the mutation in *dcas9* prevents double-stranded cleavage of the DNA, but the dCas9 protein remains tightly associated with its DNA target (6). This protein complex prevents transcription by inhibiting the initiation or progression of RNA polymerase at the target locus (6). The gene of interest is dictated via introduction of a specific modifiable sequence in the sgRNA called a spacer that is complementary to the anti-sense strand of the target (protospacer). In this form of Mobile-CRISPRi, the suite of CRISPRi machinery includes type-IIA *dcas9*, an sgRNA cloning region, and inducible *P*_{LlacO-1} promoters driving expression of both, and the entire suite has been grafted onto a Tn7 transposon

Editor Jeremy D. Semrau, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

Address correspondence to Julia C. van Kessel, jcvk@indiana.edu, or Mark J. Mandel, mmandel@wisc.edu.

J.P. has filed for patents related to Mobile-CRISPRi technology.

See the funding table on p. 12.

Received 19 January 2024 **Accepted** 28 April 2024 **Published** 22 May 2024

Copyright © 2024 American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

plasmid capable of integration into a conserved chromosomal attachment site in a broad range of target hosts (Fig. 1A) (2, 3, 7–9). Thus, the entire system is permanently integrated into the bacterial chromosome, eliminating the need for antibiotic selection during propagation, which is important for use of these strains in host infection assays (9). The Mobile-CRISPRi suite is highly modular, allowing researchers to customize the promoters that control the expression of the *dcas9* and sgRNA. Additionally, there are alternate forms of the system for organisms that are incompatible with Tn7 transposition



FIG 1 Mobile CRISPRi targets *luxR* in *V. campbellii*. (A) Model depicting Tn7 insertion of Mobile-CRISPRi with a variable targeting sequence created with BioRender. (B and C) Bioluminescence production (Lux/OD₆₀₀) endpoint at 15 hours (B) or throughout a growth curve (C) are shown for *V. campbellii* strains BB120 (WT), $\Delta luxR$, $\Delta luxO$, or wild type containing the CRISPRi plasmid targeting either *luxR* (pLG002) or *gfp* (pLG027) in the presence or absence of 100 µM IPTG. (B) A representative light curve of three biological replicates is shown. (C) Error bars represent standard deviation. Statistical analysis: normally distributed data (Shapiro-Wilk test) were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance on log-transformed data (ANOVA; *n* = 3; Tukey's multiple comparisons test; ***P* = 0.01; ****P* = 0.001; ns, not significant). Select comparisons are shown because not all could be graphed simultaneously.

(2, 3). The initial Mobile-CRISPRi study demonstrated gene knockdown in cultured *Vibrio casei* cells but not other *Vibrio* species (3). Those data, coupled with the potential for wide ranging applications including studies in animal hosts, make Mobile-CRISPRi an especially valuable resource to develop for use across *Vibronaceae*.

The aim of this work was to determine the efficacy of Mobile-CRISPRi in Vibrio bacteria. This diverse group includes both symbiotic (Vibrio fischeri) and pathogenic species (Vibrio cholerae, Vibrio vulnificus, Vibrio parahaemolyticus, Vibrio campbellii, Vibrio corallilyticus), which are relevant to human health, biotechnology, aquaculture, and marine ecology (10-12). Vibrio species are the model organism subjects of research in a plethora of research fields: natural transformation, type III secretion, type VI secretion, bacterial motility and adherence, biofilm formation, guorum sensing, bacteriophage-host evolution, chromosome and plasmid replication, host-mutualist interactions, host-pathogen interactions, and more (13-18). Here, we assessed the efficacy of Mobile-CRISPRi by targeting several systems for gene expression knockdown. First, we targeted the gene encoding the master quorum sensing transcriptional regulator LuxR in V. campbellii, which activates bioluminescence at high cell density (10, 16, 17, 19). We used this system to optimize induction of the system and characterize the effect of sgRNA location within an open reading frame. We also determined the utility of CRISPRi in studying essential genes, including the gene encoding β-subunit of RNA polymerase (rpoB) and the cell division gene ftsZ (20, 21). Finally, we show that Mobile-CRISPRi is effective in multiple Vibrio species that are frequently studied as model organisms, indicating the broad applicability of this system for genetic research.

RESULTS

Mobile-CRISPRi functions to specifically target genes in V. campbellii

To assess the function of Mobile-CRISPRi in Vibrio, we began our studies using an easily quantifiable phenotype: bioluminescence. In V. campbellii, the master transcriptional regulator LuxR is required for the transcriptional activation of the bioluminescence gene operon luxCDABE (10, 16, 17, 22). Therefore, we chose luxR as the first target gene to assess the effectiveness of Mobile-CRISPRi and designed a guide RNA spacer to target the anti-sense strand of the *luxR* gene near the 5' end of the transcript (designated luxRi) (see Fig. 2A for gene diagram) (6, 23). To assess non-specific knockdown effects on gene expression, we also designed a guide RNA to target the gfp gene (designated *qfpi*) in cells with and without the *qfp* gene. This was chosen as a control instead of using the complement of the luxR guide (targeting the sense strand) due to evidence that sense-strand targeting can still result in partial repression of the locus (24). These Mobile-CRISPRi plasmids were conjugated into V. campbellii BB120, and these strains were assayed for bioluminescence in the presence or absence of IPTG inducer. As controls for bioluminescence production, we also assayed a constitutively bioluminescent strain ($\Delta luxO$) and a non-bioluminescent strain ($\Delta luxR$) alongside the CRISPRi strains. We observed that addition of IPTG to the *luxRi* strain resulted in loss of bioluminescence production throughout the growth curve, displaying the same phenotype as the $\Delta luxR$ strain (Fig. 1B and C). The CRISPRi effect on *luxR* became saturating at 10 µM of IPTG (Fig. S1A). Induction of the *luxRi* CRISPRi genes in the *luxRi* strain did not alter growth (Fig. S2). Furthermore, induction of the gfpi targeting sgRNA did not alter the production of bioluminescence in the presence or absence of IPTG, indicating that the sqRNA effects are specific (Fig. 1B and C). We observe that there is no difference in bioluminescence levels in uninduced wild-type and uninduced luxRi (Fig. 1C), suggesting there is little to no leakiness in the system within this context. From these data, we conclude that Mobile-CRISPRi specifically targets gene loci in V. campbellii without any observable growth or off-target effects of active dCas9-guide RNA complexes.



FIG 2 Effects of guide RNA location. Bioluminescence endpoint production (Lux/OD₆₀₀) at 15 hours is shown for *V. campbellii* strains BB120 (WT), $\Delta luxR$, $\Delta luxO$, or wild type containing a CRISPRi plasmid with guide RNA targeting *luxR* (A) or *luxC* (B) in the presence or absence of 100 μ M IPTG. The location of the guide RNA target from the beginning of the open reading frame (ORF) is indicated in base-pairs. Next to each panel is a gene diagram depicting the relative location of (Continued on next page)

FIG 2 (Continued)

each sgRNA along the ORF denoted by the same base-pair number. Statistical analysis: normally distributed data (D'Agostino and Pearson test) were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance of log-transformed data (n = 3; Tukey's multiple comparisons test; *P = 0.05; ***P = 0.001; ****P = 0.001; ns, not significant). Select comparisons are shown because not all could be graphed simultaneously.

Guide RNA location impacts knockdown efficacy

Previous studies have demonstrated the potential utility in altering CRISPRi by targeting the guide RNA to different sections of a gene's open reading frame (6, 23). To assess this modification in *V. campbellii* BB120, we developed *luxRi* strains targeting different sections of the open reading frame (Fig. 2A). The same controls as the previous assay were used. We observed that the repression conveyed by CRISPRi steadily decreased as the guide RNA target moved from the 5' end toward the 3' in the open reading frame. The observation was recapitulated in wild-type strains targeting *luxC (luxCi)* (Fig. 2B). These data suggest that achieving high efficacy gene knockdown in *Vibrio* species necessitates targeting toward the 5' end of the open reading frame.

Mobile-CRISPRi targets the essential genes rpoB and ftsZ

A significant value in applying CRISPRi compared to a gene inactivation approach (e.g., deletion) is the ability to examine essential genes. To assess the utility of the CRISPRi system in temporal knockdown of essential genes, we targeted the gene encoding the β subunit of RNA polymerase (*rpoB*) (20, 21). Upon induction of the *V. campbellii rpoBi* strain with IPTG, we observed a severely delayed lag phase compared to the wild-type strain and the control *rpoBi* strain without inducer (Fig. 3A). Although growth eventually recovered for the *rpoBi* strain, we hypothesize that this growth is likely due to suppressor mutants as has been demonstrated previously (25).

To further test the utility of the Mobile-CRISPRi system, we targeted the divisome gene, *ftsZ*, and observed the effect of knockdown on cell growth and shape (20, 21). As expected, severe filamentation of the cells was observed throughout the entire population at 8 hours post-IPTG induction, consistent with a defect in division (Fig. 3B). The *gfpi* control strain lacked any observable difference from the wild-type cells. From these experiments, we conclude that Mobile-CRISPRi can be used to target essential genes to observe phenotypes in live cells.

Mobile-CRISPRi functions in multiple Vibrio species

We next sought to determine the use of the Mobile-CRISPRi suite in other Vibrio species. First, we examined conservation of the Tn7 transposon site in Vibrionaceae genomes. Previous work has shown that Tn7 like transposons are known to be commonplace throughout Vibrio bacteria (26). The Tn7 transposon is integrated via site-specific insertion: protein TnsD recognizes and binds a DNA sequence called attTn7 near the end of the *qlmS* gene and subsequently inserts the transposon just downstream of the glmS ORF (Fig. 4). Because GlmS (glutamine-fructose-6-phosphate aminotransferase) is essential for synthesis of glucosamine-6-phosphate for peptidoglycan synthesis, the TnsD recognition site within the *glmS* gene is highly conserved among bacteria (26). Similarly, our analysis of the attTn7 binding site (27) demonstrated that it is highly conserved in 848 sequences from across Vibrio spp. (Fig. 4). The highly conserved nature of this binding site indicates that the insertion machinery is unlikely to be an issue in these species. Next, to test the efficacy of Mobile-CRISPRi in other Vibrio species, we designed and cloned sgRNAs that target the LuxR homolog in V. cholerae, V. vulnificus, and V. parahaemolyticus. All three of these species are not naturally bioluminescent, so we used an ectopic fluorescent reporter plasmid (Plux-gfp) that measures LuxR-dependent luxCDABE promoter activation (pCS42—see Fig. 5A for simplified diagram). Repression of the genes encoding the V. vulnificus (SmcR) and V. parahaemolyticus (OpaR) LuxR homologs in their native backgrounds resulted in *gfp* repression similar to complete deletion of the same gene (Fig. 5A). We also attempted this assay in V. cholerae; however,



FIG 3 Targeting essential genes *rpoB* and *ftsZ* with CRISPRi. (A) Growth curves (OD₆₀₀ over time) are shown for wild-type and *rpoBi* strains of *V. fischeri* ES114 (*Vfisch*) and *V. campbellii* BB120 (*Vcamp*) in the presence and absence of 100 mM IPTG. EV here indicates empty vector or a Mobile-CRISPRi system lacking a sgRNA. One representative data set of three biological replicates is shown. (B) Micrographs of wild type, *gfpi*, and *ftsZi* strains at 8 hours post-induction. Scale bars (10 µm) are shown.

after attempting to clone in three different guides targeting the LuxR homolog in *V. cholerae* (HapR), there was still no successful repression of *gfp* expression. To determine if this was due to *V. cholerae* being incompatible with the system, we tested a *gfp* sgRNA in

Full-Length Text



Position Relative to Insertion Site

FIG 4 Conservation of TnsD Binding in *Vibrio*. A sequence logo showing the conservation of the putative TnsD binding site across 848 *Vibrio* sequences. The black box on the gene diagram indicates the Tn7 insertion site. The numbers on the gene diagram indicate the position distance from the insertion site. The *glmS* gene is shown with the point indicating the stop codon. Below the x-axis, the amino acid consensus sequence is listed. Black boxed nucleotides are essential for TnsD function *in vitro*, and mutation of the gray boxed nucleotides leads to reduced function (27).

all our *Vibrio* species. We observed that in all four species, fluorescence was significantly reduced upon induction of the CRISPRi suite (Fig. 5B). However, considering those data with our initial issues with repressing *hapR* expression suggests that the Mobile-CRISPRi system is functional in *V. cholerae* but that additional optimization may be required.

To test additional targets in another *Vibrio* species, we focused on *V. fischeri*. We targeted *rpoB* in a fashion like that conducted for *V. campbellii*, as *rpoB* is also predicted to be an essential gene in *V. fischeri* ES114 (21). Upon induction of the *V. fischeri rpoBi* strain with IPTG, we observed a significant growth lag, which we did not observe upon addition of IPTG in a control wild-type strain (Fig. 3A). We also note that the uninduced *rpoBi* strain does not exhibit any growth lag in this experiment nor in the analogous *V. campbellii* experiment (Fig. 3A), suggesting that the system is not leaky enough to convey a fitness deficit in this context, making it especially suitable to probe functions of essential genes. Additionally, targeting *gfp* was tested in *V.* fischeri. We observed that Mobile-CRISPRi was, once again, able to significantly reduce the expression of GFP (Fig. 5C). Overall, these experiments demonstrate that Mobile-CRISPRi is effective in *V. campbellii, V. cholerae, V. vulnificus, V. fischeri,* and *V. parahaemolyticus*.

DISCUSSION

This work focused on developing the Mobile-CRISPRi suite for use across *Vibrio* bacteria with the objective of determining the specificity, efficacy, and versatility of this system for studying *Vibrio* biology. We targeted multiple non-essential and essential genes to test the parameters of this assay across five *Vibrio* species. Our data demonstrated that





FIG 5 Mobile CRISPRi functions in multiple *Vibrio* species. (A) Fluorescence endpoint production (RFU/ OD₆₀₀) at 15 hours is shown for strains of *Vibrio* in wild-type and *luxR* deletion backgrounds containing a CRISPRi plasmid with guide RNA targeting the *luxR* homolog in each species in the presence (+) or absence (-) of 100 μ M IPTG. Below the graph is a simplified diagram depicting the assay set up. (B) Fluorescence endpoint production (RFU/OD₆₀₀) at 15 hours is shown for strains of *Vibrio* in wild-type backgrounds containing a CRISPRi plasmid with guide RNA targeting *gfp* in each species in the presence (+) or absence (-) of 100 mM IPTG. Both (A) and (B) utilize a reporter with *gfp* transcriptionally fused (Continued on next page)

FIG 5 (Continued)

to a LuxR activated locus ($P_{luxCDABE}$). (C) Fluorescence endpoint production at 15 hours is shown for *V*. *fischeri* ES114 in Mobile-CRISPRi backgrounds with (*gfpi*) or without (WT) sgRNA targeting *gfp* in the presence (+) or absence (-) of 100 µM IPTG. Statistical analysis: normally distributed data (D'Agostino and Pearson test) were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance on log-transformed data (n = 3; Tukey's multiple comparisons test; *P = 0.05; **P = 0.01; ***P = 0.001; ****P = 0.0001; ns, not significant). Error bars represent Standard Deviation.

Mobile-CRISPRi can deplete gene expression such that the resulting phenotypes mimic deletion of the same gene. Additionally, we show that the Mobile-CRISPRi suite does not impact the growth of *V. campbellii* when targeting a locus expected to be neutral to growth (Fig. 1A; Fig. S2). Consistent with the literature in other systems, the greatest and most consistent repression is achieved by targeting the 5' end of the target's open reading frame (Fig. 2) (6, 23, 28). Through targeting of both *rpoB* and *ftsZ*, we show that Mobile-CRISPRi can deplete expression of essential genes and identify their physiological impacts on the cell (Fig. 3A and B 5D,). The *rpoBi* results in *V. fischeri* parallel those obtained in *V. campbellii*, including the eventual recovery in growth (Fig. 3A and 5D). These data in combination with the *gfpi* experiments (Fig. 5) point to significant consistency in the use of CRISPRi across the *Vibrionaceae*. Furthermore, the *V. fischeri gfpi* work in the study (Fig. 5C) was conducted in a different laboratory from that of the other assays, and the congruity in the results obtained suggests that adoption of the technology by new groups will yield reproducible results.

Mobile-CRISPRi readily functions in a variety of *Vibrio* species (Fig. 5), demonstrating its potential utility in studying non-model organisms that typically lack robust genetic tool kits. The utility of CRISPRi in *Vibrio* is further supported by a plasmid tool kit recently developed for *V. parahaemolyticus* (28). However, the Mobile-CRISPRi previously published and utilized here expands this knockdown technique by allowing for stable chromosomal integration of the necessary machinery. The stable integration prevents cell-to-cell deviations due to plasmid copy number and allows for the system to be reliably maintained within a host extending its utility into infection and colonization assays (9). Given the extensive use of *Vibrio* spp. in animal model systems to study human diseases, aquatic diseases, and animal symbioses, stable chromosomal integration presents a substantial benefit.

The development of genetic tools for model and non-model organisms is essential for the elucidation of gene function. Transposon mutagenesis is one of the most powerful tools used in bacterial genetics. Similarly, we anticipate that the Mobile-CRISPRi suite will enable new and fundamental experiments in which both essential and non-essential genes can be probed in screens for function. Indeed, this system has already been proven to revolutionize large-scale genetic screens using oligonucleotide-based spacer libraries that target all essential (29) or all annotated genes in the genome (30). Experiments in model systems such as *V. cholerae* and *V. fischeri* will expand the toolsets available to enable host-microbe studies of gene function *in vivo*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and plasmids

All oligos utilized for cloning in this study can be found in Table S1. All plasmids and bacterial strains used herein are listed in Tables S2 and S3. *V. campbellii* strains were grown in Luria Marine (LM) medium (Lysogeny broth with an additional 10 g per L of NaCl) at 30°C, and *V. fischeri* strains were grown in Lysogeny broth salt medium (LBS; LM that is buffered with 50 mL 1 M pH 7.5 Tris per L) at 25°C. All other strains were grown in Lysogeny broth (LB) at 37°C. When required, the appropriate media was supplemented with kanamycin (100 μ g/mL), gentamycin (100 μ g/mL), ampicillin (100 μ g/mL), chloramphenicol (10 μ g/mL), or isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 100 μ M unless otherwise noted. Plasmids were transferred from *E. coli* to *Vibrio*

strains via conjugation on LB plates or LBS for *V. fischeri*. Exconjugants were selected for using polymyxin B (50 µg/mL) and any additional antibiotics required.

sgRNA design

The sgRNA targets were designed as described in Banta et al. (2).

To construct a comprehensive list of sgRNA sequences, a command-line interpreter was utilized. Anaconda Navigator (Conda) was downloaded onto a Unix compatible computer from https://docs.conda.io/projects/conda/en/latest/. A Conda environment was prepared through a script taken directly from Banta et al. Further information is available through Github documentation (https://github.com/ryandward/sgrna_design) at the sgrna_design repository and the Banta et al. protocol (2).

The genes of interest were then identified by their locus tag within the list. Target sgRNAs were selected from this list and ordered through IDT. An example oligo pair to produce a proper sgRNA can be found below:

Top Oligo: tagtAGAGGGGAAAGCCTAGTACG

Bottom Oligo: aaacCGTACTAGGCTTTCCCCTCT

Lower case indicates complementarity with a Bsal sticky end that is generated in pJMP1339. The upper case indicates sgRNA sequence. The Top Oligo refers to the sequence from the target list. The Bottom Oligo is the reverse complement of this sequence.

Molecular cloning methods

The pJMP1339 plasmid DNA was digested using the Bsal HF-v2 Restriction endonuclease (NEB) for 4 hours at 37°C, purified using a Qiagen PCR Purification kit, and quantified using a BioTek Synergy H1 plate reader. The 5'-phosphate was added via T4 polynucleotide kinase (NEB) at 37°C for 30 minutes. The T4 kinase was then heat inactivated through incubation at 65°C for 20 minutes. The oligos were then annealed by incubating them at 95°C for 5 minutes and allowing them to cool to room temperature. This final solution was diluted 1:40. Ligations of the dsDNA oligos to the digested pJMP1339 were performed with T4 ligase (NEB) in a 10 μ L reaction volume with 50 ng of digested pJMP1339 and 2 μ L of diluted oligos, and the reaction incubated for 2 hours at room temperature followed by heat-inactivation for 20 minutes at 65°C. Reactions were transformed into electrocompetent *Escherichia coli* S17-Apir cells or *E. coli* WM6026 for *V. fischeri (2)*. Plasmids were sequenced to confirm (Eurofins).

Plasmid conjugation into Vibrio

Mobile-CRISPRi machinery was conjugated into V. fischeri using a tri-parental mating of DAP auxotrophic donors for Tn7 transposition: sJMP3049 (E. coli attTn7::acrllA4, recA1, dapA::pir) for cloning the Mobile-CRISPRi with a unique sgRNA-spacer, pTn7C1 as the transposase donor, and V. fischeri as the recipient. One hundred microliters of overnight cultures for each strain was added to 700 μ L of LBS and centrifuged at 8,000 × g for 2 minutes. Then, cells were resuspended in 1 mL of LBS and centrifuged again at 8,000 × g for 2 minutes. Centrifuged cells were resuspended on 10 μ L of LBS DAP and spotted on LBS-DAP agar plates. The plate was incubated lid up at 25°C overnight. Each sample was resuspended in 750 μ L of LBS and plated on selective LBS-kanamycin agar plates and incubated at room temperature for 2 days. Eight colonies were tested via colony PCR. Three successful inserts were grown overnight for cryopreservation and downstream assays.

When attempting this protocol in some of the other *Vibrio* strains, the conjugative efficiency was insufficient for the successful integration of the system. Therefore, we optimized the mating protocol for the other *Vibrio*.

Plasmid constructs were introduced into the other *Vibrio* cells via quadra-parental mating. For example, to mate a plasmid into *V. campbellii* BB120, the following strains were used: BB120 (*Vibrio* recipient), pJMP1039 (encoding the Tn7 transposase) (2, 3),

pRK600 (mating helper strain) (22), and the S17- λpir strain containing the plasmid of interest (e.g., pJMP1339 encoding the Mobile-CRISPRi suite onto which the sgRNA had been cloned). One milliliter of each culture was centrifuged at 8,000 × *g* for 5 min and resuspended in non-selective LB media. Ten microliters of each suspension was then dispensed in four total co-spots contained on an LB agar plate. The plate was then stored lid up at 30°C overnight. Each co-spot from a given plate was resuspended in the same 1 mL of LM media, and 100 µL of this suspension was streaked for isolation on an LM plate with 100 µg/mL kanamycin (selection for integration of Mobile-CRISPRi machinery) and 50 µg/mL polymyxin B (selection for Vibrio) and incubated overnight at 30°C. Three of the resulting colonies were then tested for successful Mobile-CRISPRi insertion via colony PCR. One of the successful colonies was inoculated overnight in the appropriate liquid culture and cryopreserved for downstream assays.

Bioluminescence and GFP fluorescence measurements

The bioluminescence assays were performed in black-welled, clear bottom 96-well sterile plates. Selective media (240 μ L) was added to each well, and 30 μ L of 1 mM IPTG stock or dH₂O was added (unless otherwise stated). Overnight cultures were diluted 1:1,000 in appropriate selective media, and 30 μ L of these dilutions was added to the appropriate wells for a final volume of 300 μ L. The plates were incubated and read using a BioTek Cytation3 plate reader and Gen5 Microplate reader software. The plate reader incubated the plate at 30°C with a continuous double orbital kinetic setting that took a reading every 30 minutes for 22 hours, recording both OD₆₀₀ and bioluminescence (lux). Each experiment had three biological replicates, each with two technical replicates per sample. The data were analyzed by averaging the technical replicates for both OD₆₀₀ and lux, and the data were plotted as relative lux units (lux/OD₆₀₀).

For measuring GFP fluorescence in *Vibrio* species other than *V. fischeri*, overnight cultures grown in selective media were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 8,000 × g and resuspended in 2 × PBS. After resuspension, 300 µL was added to black-welled, clear bottom 96-well sterile plates and measured using a BioTek Cytation3 plate reader. For measuring GFP fluorescence in *V. fischeri*, 200 µL of overnight cultures grown in selective media was added to black-welled, clear bottom 96-well sterile plates and measured using a BioTek Cytation3 plate reader. For measuring a BioTek Synergy Neo2 plate reader. All the analysis and graphing for these assays was done using GraphPad Prism

Analysis of TnsD conservation in Vibrio

To construct a sequence logo highlighting the conservation of the putative TnsD binding site in *glmS*, custom python scripts were utilized within a Unix command line. The custom scripts are available at: https://github.com/ljgeyman/tnsD-consensus-seq.git. First, the *glmS* sequence from GenBank CP000789.1 locus VIBHAR_00831 was obtained. Then, this nucleotide sequence was used as the query sequence in a discontiguous MegaBlast with 5,000 max target sequences and all other settings default (BLASTN 2.15.0+). *Vibrio campbellii* (taxid 680) sequences were excluded and the search was limited to *Vibrionaceae* sequences (taxid: 641). This yielded 848 sequences with query coverage between 85% and 100% and percent identity ranging between 65% and 97%. The hit sequences were extracted from the Blast results using custom python scripts. Following this, the hits were aligned using MUSCLE (v3.8.1551) (31). Within the positional analysis, the background probabilities for each nucleotide were determined using the GC content for CP000789.1. Here, the pseudo count was arbitrarily set to 0.01 to avoid log(0) during analysis. The raw position weight matrix is available in Table S4. The sequence logo was generated in python (v3.10.12) using Logomaker (v0.8).

Analysis of essential gene knockdowns

The FtsZ knockdown images were taken using phase-contrast. The cultures were grown up overnight in non-inducing conditions. The following morning, the cells were back

diluted into both inducing and non-inducing media (LM \pm 100 μ M IPTG). The cultures were normalized to an OD_{600} of 0.1 and allowed to grow up for 8 hours. After, the images were analyzed using ImageJ.

The *rpoB* knockdown growth curves were performed in black-welled, clear bottom 96-well sterile plates. Selective media (240 μ L) was added to each well, and 30 μ L of 1 mM IPTG stock or dH₂O was added (unless otherwise stated). Overnight cultures were diluted 1:1,000 in appropriate selective media, and 30 μ L of these dilutions was added to the appropriate wells for a final volume of 300 μ L. The plates were incubated and read using a BioTek Cytation3 plate reader and Gen5 Microplate reader software. The plate reader incubated the plate at 30°C with a continuous double orbital kinetic setting that took a reading every 30 minutes for 22 hours, recording OD₆₀₀. These experiments were done in triplicate, each biological replicate having two technical replicates per sample. The data were analyzed by averaging the technical replicates. The analysis and graphing was done using GraphPad Prism.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the van Kessel lab for comments on the manuscript and helpful discussions.

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under award numbers R35GM124698 to J.C.V.K., R35GM150487 to J.P., R35GM148385 to M.J.M., and T32GM135066 to N.R.-M. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. Research reported in this publication was also supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program to L.J.G.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, USA

²Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

³Department of Medical Microbiology & Immunology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

⁴Microbiology Doctoral Training Program, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

⁵Pharmaceutical Sciences Division, School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

AUTHOR ORCIDs

Logan J. Geyman (b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6697-6725 Natalia Rosario-Meléndez (b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1665-4167 Mark J. Mandel (b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6506-6711 Julia C. van Kessel (b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1612-2403

FUNDING

Funder	Grant(s)	Author(s)
HHS National Institutes of Health (NIH)	R35GM124698	Julia C. van Kessel
HHS National Institutes of Health (NIH)	R35GM150487	Jason Peters
HHS National Institutes of Health (NIH)	R35GM148385	Mark J. Mandel
National Science Foundation (NSF)	GRFP	Logan J. Geyman
HHS National Institutes of Health (NIH)	T32GM135066	Natalia Rosario-Meléndez

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Logan J. Geyman, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing | Madeline P. Tanner, Data curation, Investigation, Resources | Natalia Rosario-Meléndez, Investigation, Resources | Jason M. Peters, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing | Mark J. Mandel, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing | Julia C. van Kessel, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing

ADDITIONAL FILES

The following material is available online.

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material (AEM00065-24-s0001.pdf). Tables S1 to S4; Figures S1 and S2.

REFERENCES

- Barrangou R, Marraffini LA. 2014. CRISPR-Cas systems: prokaryotes upgrade to adaptive immunity. Mol Cell 54:234–244. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.molcel.2014.03.011
- Banta AB, Ward RD, Tran JS, Bacon EE, Peters JM. 2020. Programmable gene knockdown in diverse bacteria using mobile-CRISPRi. Curr Protoc Microbiol 59:e130. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpmc.130
- Peters JM, Koo B-M, Patino R, Heussler GE, Hearne CC, Qu J, Inclan YF, Hawkins JS, Lu CHS, Silvis MR, Harden MM, Osadnik H, Peters JE, Engel JN, Dutton RJ, Grossman AD, Gross CA, Rosenberg OS. 2019. Enabling genetic analysis of diverse bacteria with mobile-CRISPRi. Nat Microbiol 4:244–250. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0327-z
- 4. Makarova KS, Grishin NV, Shabalina SA, Wolf YI, Koonin EV. 2006. A putative RNA-interference-based immune system in prokaryotes: computational analysis of the predicted enzymatic machinery, functional analogies with eukaryotic RNAi, and hypothetical mechanisms of action. Biol Direct 1:7. https://doi.org/10.1186/1745-6150-1-7
- Larson MH, Gilbert LA, Wang X, Lim WA, Weissman JS, Qi LS. 2013. CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) for sequence-specific control of gene expression. Nat Protoc 8:2180–2196. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013. 132
- Qi LS, Larson MH, Gilbert LA, Doudna JA, Weissman JS, Arkin AP, Lim WA. 2013. Repurposing CRISPR as an RNA-guided platform for sequencespecific control of gene expression. Cell 152:1173–1183. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cell.2013.02.022
- Ford KC, Kaste JAM, Shachar-Hill Y, TerAvest MA. 2022. Flux-balance analysis and mobile CRISPRi-guided deletion of a conditionally essential gene in *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1. ACS Synth Biol 11:3405–3413. https: //doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.2c00323
- Banta AB, Enright AL, Siletti C, Peters JM. 2020. A high-efficacy CRISPR interference system for gene function discovery in *Zymomonas mobilis*. Appl Environ Microbiol 86:23. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01621-20
- Qu J, Prasad NK, Yu MA, Chen S, Lyden A, Herrera N, Silvis MR, Crawford E, Looney MR, Peters JM, Rosenberg OS. 2019. Modulating pathogenesis with mobile-CRISPRi. J Bacteriol 201:22. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB. 00304-19
- Ball AS, Chaparian RR, van Kessel JC. 2017. Quorum sensing gene regulation by LuxR/HapR master regulators in *Vibrios*. J Bacteriol 199:19. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00105-17
- Barrasso K, Watve S, Simpson CA, Geyman LJ, van Kessel JC, Ng W-L. 2020. Dual-function quorum-sensing systems in bacterial pathogens and symbionts. PLoS Pathog 16:e1008934. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.ppat.1008934
- 12. Simpson CA, Petersen BD, Haas NW, Geyman LJ, Lee AH, Podicheti R, Pepin R, Brown LC, Rusch DB, Manzella MP, Papenfort K, van Kessel JC.

2021. The quorum-sensing systems of *Vibrio campbellii* DS40M4 and BB120 are genetically and functionally distinct. Environ Microbiol 23:5412–5432. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.15602

- Simpson CA, Podicheti R, Rusch DB, Dalia AB, van Kessel JC. 2019. Diversity in natural transformation frequencies and regulation across *Vibrio* species. mBio 10:e02788-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02788-19
- Waters CM, Wu JT, Ramsey ME, Harris RC, Bassler BL. 2010. Control of the type 3 secretion system in *Vibrio harveyi* by quorum sensing through repression of ExsA. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:4996–5004. https://doi. org/10.1128/AEM.00886-10
- Ho BT, Fu Y, Dong TG, Mekalanos JJ. 2017. Vibrio cholerae type 6 secretion system effector trafficking in target bacterial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114:9427–9432. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1711219114
- Chaparian RR, Ball AS, van Kessel JC. 2020. Hierarchical transcriptional control of the LuxR quorum sensing regulon of *Vibrio harveyi*. J Bacteriol 202:e00047-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00047-20
- Chaparian RR, Tran MLN, Miller Conrad LC, Rusch DB, van Kessel JC. 2020. Global H-NS counter-silencing by LuxR activates quorum sensing gene expression. Nucleic Acids Res 48:171–183. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/ gkz1089
- Vander Griend JA, Isenberg RY, Kotla KR, Mandel MJ. 2024. Transcriptional pathways across colony biofilm models in the symbiont *Vibrio fischeri*. mSystems 9:e0081523. https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems. 00815-23
- van Kessel JC, Ulrich LE, Zhulin IB, Bassler BL. 2013. Analysis of activator and repressor functions reveals the requirements for transcriptional control by LuxR, the master regulator of quorum sensing in *Vibrio harveyi*. mBio 4:e00378-13. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00378-13
- Kamp HD, Patimalla-Dipali B, Lazinski DW, Wallace-Gadsden F, Camilli A. 2013. Gene fitness landscapes of *Vibrio cholerae* at important stages of its life cycle. PLoS Pathog 9:e1003800. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. ppat.1003800
- Brooks JF, Gyllborg MC, Cronin DC, Quillin SJ, Mallama CA, Foxall R, Whistler C, Goodman AL, Mandel MJ. 2014. Global discovery of colonization determinants in the squid symbiont *Vibrio fischeri*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:17284–17289. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1415957111
- Hustmyer CM, Simpson CA, Olney SG, Rusch DB, Bochman ML, van Kessel JC. 2018. Promoter boundaries for the *luxCDABE* and *betlBAproXWV* operons in *Vibrio harveyi* defined by the method rapid arbitrary PCR insertion libraries (RAIL). J Bacteriol 200:11. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JB.00724-17

- Cui L, Vigouroux A, Rousset F, Varet H, Khanna V, Bikard D. 2018. A CCRISPRi screen in *E. coli* reveals sequence-specific toxicity of dCas9. Nat Commun 9:1912. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04209-5
- Bikard D, Jiang W, Samai P, Hochschild A, Zhang F, Marraffini LA. 2013. Programmable repression and activation of bacterial gene expression using an engineered CRISPR-Cas system. Nucleic Acids Res 41:7429– 7437. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt520
- Zhao H, Sun Y, Peters JM, Gross CA, Garner EC, Helmann JD. 2016. Depletion of undecaprenyl pyrophosphate phosphatases disrupts cell envelope biogenesis in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 198:2925–2935. https: //doi.org/10.1128/JB.00507-16
- Benler S, Faure G, Altae-Tran H, Shmakov S, Zheng F, Koonin E. 2021. Cargo genes of Tn7-like transposons comprise an enormous diversity of defense systems, mobile genetic elements, and antibiotic resistance genes. mBio 12:e0293821. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02938-21
- Mitra R, McKenzie GJ, Yi L, Lee CA, Craig NL. 2010. Characterization of the TnsD-attTn7 complex that promotes site-specific insertion of Tn7. Mob DNA 1:18. https://doi.org/10.1186/1759-8753-1-18

- Jiang T, Li Y, Hong W, Lin M. 2023. A robust CRISPR interference gene repression system in *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. Arch Microbiol 206:41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-023-03770-y
- Ward RD, Tran JS, Banta AB, Bacon EE, Rose WE, Peters JM. 2024. Essential gene knockdowns reveal genetic vulnerabilities and antibiotic sensitivities in *Acinetobacter baumannii*. mBio 15:e0205123. https://doi. org/10.1128/mbio.02051-23
- Enright AL, Banta AB, Ward RD, Rivera Vazquez J, Felczak MM, Wolfe MB, TerAvest MA, Amador-Noguez D, Peters JM. 2023. The genetics of aerotolerant growth in an alphaproteobacterium with a naturally reduced genome. mBio 14:e0148723. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio. 01487-23
- Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 32:1792–1797. https:// doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340